

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/689,128	10/20/2003	Siva G. Narendra	884.575US2	2903	
75	90 12/02/2004		EXAMINER		
Schwegman, Lundberg, Woessner & Kluth, P.A.			MENZ, DOUGLAS M		
P.O. Box 2938 Minneapolis, M	IN 55402		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,			2824		
			DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/689,128		NARENDRA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·			and	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	Douglas M Menz	the correspondence addr	ress	
Period for Reply	•			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a re - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maili earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHs te, cause the application to become ABAN	/ be timely filed  10) days will be considered timely.  S from the mailing date of this com  DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	munication.	
Status	•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .			
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow		· ·	merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposition of Claims				
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the application	n.			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra			•	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		•		
8) Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.			
Application Papers		-8-	. ·	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ier.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac		the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required if the drawing(s)	is objected to. See 37 CFR	l 1.121(d).	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached O	ffice Action or form PTO	-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)		
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	p undo. 00 0.0.0.	(a) (a) or (i).		
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received.	· .		
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in Appl	ication No		
<ol><li>Copies of the certified copies of the price</li></ol>		ceived in this National St	tage	
application from the International Burea				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	t of the certified copies not rec	eived.		
Attachment(s)				
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sumi	mary (PTO-413)		
?) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-1)	52\	
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	паглатент Аррисайоп (РТО-1	<b>J2)</b>	

Application/Control Number: 10/689,128

Art Unit: 2824

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Species I, claims 1-9, directed to an apparatus.

Species II, claims 10-16, directed to an integrated circuit.

Species III, claims 17-20, directed to a system.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

A telephone call was made to Ann McCrackin on 11/19/04 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Douglas M Menz whose telephone number is 571-272-1877. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8-5.

Art Unit: 2824

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Elms can be reached on 571-272-1869. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NICHAEL S. LEBENTRITT PRIMARY EXAMINER

DM